

# Genetics Core Update

Andy Saykin, Indiana University

For the Genetics Core/Working Groups

ADNI Steering Committee April 18, 2016 Vancouver BC

ᡎ INDIANA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

asaykin@iupui.edu

# **Outline of Genetics Core update**

ADNI-2 progress & use of genetics data

- Available data review
- 32 additional WGS from Carlos Cruchaga
- 10 more GWAS ADNI-2 / ADNI-DoD APOE and GWAS on 160 coming soon
- Methylation coming later this year
- \*\*\* Metabolomics update
- ADNI-3 Aims What's new (Genetics Core proposal)
- Focus on genetic enrichment of clinical trials
- Candidate genes & polygenic scoring
- Incorporating rare variants as emerge from IGAP, ADSP etc
- Multi-omics and systems biology
- Epigenetics and the methylation study
- 1719 advanced methylation arrays on 649 unique participants
- See email table from Sungeun with demographics
- PBMC collection for iPSC and other purposes
- Explain possible uses and why its important mention blood vs brain
- So far close correspondence not seen in one report on AD (Yu et al 2016)
- ---- But data from PD and an epilepsy surgery/schizophrenia study are encouraging
- Family history and pedigrees, potential call back
- Future directions

## **Genetics Core Goals for ADNI-3**

- Overall: To identify and validate genetic markers to enhance clinical trial design and drug discovery.
- Aim 1: Continue sample collection, processing, banking, curation and dissemination.
- Aim 2: Continue to provide genome-wide genotyping data to the scientific community.
- Aim 3: Continue to perform and facilitate bioinformatics analyses of ADNI genetics and quantitative phenotype data and test scientific hypotheses related to the goals of ADNI-3.
- Aim 4: Continue to provide organization, collaboration and leadership for genomic studies of quantitative biomarker phenotypes.

# **New Aspects**

- Aim I: PBMC collection
  - Enabling iPSC and functional assays for mechanistic and drug development efforts
- Aim 2: Next generation GWAS & other assays
  - New arrays by the time of enrollment, WGS costs decreasing, additional –omics
- Aim 3: Bioinformatics analyses of quantitative phenotype data & test scientific hypotheses

   Focus on trial enrichment & systems biology
- Aim 4: Continue to support collaborative research
  - New working groups: systems biology, methylation, etc.
  - With Clinical Core: ascertain more family history

# Major themes & hypotheses

- H1: The efficiency of clinical trials can be improved by enrichment with genetic markers beyond APOE, reducing sample size, time to complete trials, and lowering costs;
- H2: Systems biology modeling of multi-omics data, yielding polygenic risk scores and gene pathway- and network-based metrics, will prove more powerful than single variants in predicting disease progression and outcomes;
- H3: Variation in the MAPT gene and other pathways will be associated with [18F]AV-1451 tau PET; and
- H4: Genetic variation influences proteomics and metabolomics biomarker assays and controlling for genetic effects will improve the performance of –omics biomarkers in predicting disease progression and outcomes.

Path from genetic signal to targeted therapeutics: key applications to drug discovery and development



Core Report: Alzheimer's & Dementia 11 (2015) 792-814

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations Draft – Not for Implementation

#### **Guidance for Industry** Enrichment Strategies for Clinical Trials to Support Approval of Human Drugs and Biological Products

- 1. Strategies to decrease heterogeneity Selecting patients with baseline measurements in a narrow range (decreased inter-patient variability) and excluding patients whose disease or symptoms improve spontaneously or whose measurements are highly variable (less intra-patient variability).
- 2. *Prognostic enrichment strategies* choosing patients with a greater likelihood of having a disease-related endpoint event (for event-driven studies) or a substantial worsening in condition (for continuous measurement endpoints); increase absolute effect between groups.
- 3. Predictive enrichment strategies choosing patients more likely to respond to the drug treatment than other patients with the condition being treated. Such selection can lead to a larger effect size (both absolute and relative) and permit use of a smaller study population.

  FDA, 2012

### IL1RAP Candidate - Longitudinal Amyloid PET



Ramanan et al., Brain Oct. 2015

# Effect of IL1RAP rs12053868



-IL1RAP (7.1%) + APOE ε4 (3.4%) explain 10.5% of the phenotypic variance (age and gender explain 0.9%)

-IL1RAP association remains genome-wide significant (P=5.80x10<sup>-9</sup>) with additional covariates of APOE ε4 status, baseline diagnosis, education, baseline amyloid burden and its square, and PCA eigenvectors

Ramanan et al., Brain Oct. 2015

### Converging -omics & Systems Biology





SCHOOL OF MEDICINF

Genetics Core – Saykin et al Alzheimer's & Dementia 11 (2015) 792-814

# Systems Biology Approach Pathways to Neurodegeneration



Ramanan & Saykin, <u>Am J Neurodegener Dis</u> 2013;2(3):145-175

## Neurodegeneration Pathways in AD & PD



Ramanan & Saykin, <u>Am J Neurodegener Dis</u> 2013;2(3):145-175



Genetics Core Methylation Working Group

Wade Davis, Aparna Vasanthakumar, Jeffrey Waring (AbbVie) Charles O'Donnell, Marc Muskavitch (Biogen) Qingqin Li (J&J) Nadeem Sarwar (Eisai) Sungeun Kim, Kwangsik Nho, Liana Apostolova, Andrew Saykin (Indiana University) with help from the PPSB Chairs & FNIH







asaykin@iupui.edu

### Converging -omics & Systems Biology





**TIT INDIANA UNIVERSITY** 

SCHOOL OF MEDICINF

Genetics Core – Saykin et al Alzheimer's & Dementia 11 (2015) 792-814

# Epigenetics

- MZ twins discordant for heritable diseases like AD
- Epigenetics includes heritable changes in gene expression caused by environmental and G x E factors rather than changes in DNA sequence
- Functionally relevant changes in <u>phenotype</u> without a change in <u>genotype</u>
- Consensus definition of *epigenetic trait* (Cold Spring Harbor 2008): "stably heritable phenotype resulting from changes in a chromosome without alterations in the DNA sequence"
- Major roles: differentiation, development and disease



DNA molecule that is methylated on both strands on the center cytosine. The crystal structure of a short DNA helix with sequence "accgcCGgcgcc", which is methylated on both strands at the center cytosine. <u>Christoph Bock (Max Planck Institute for Informatics)</u>

# Sources of Epigenetic Changes



Kanherkar et al. (2014), Frontiers in Cell Dev Biol

# Methylation Sample Characteristics

Study Design	Age (years; Mean, SD)	Male (N, %)	APOE E4 positive (N,%)
Cross-sectional (All Individuals)*			
Cognitively Nornal (n=221)	76.27 (6.63)	111 (50%)	57 (26%)
Mild Cognitive Impairment (n=335)	72.58 (7.82)	188 (56%)	153 (46%)
Alzheimer's Disease (n=93)	77.19 (7.69)	60 (65%)	63 (68%)
Longitudinal design*			
Cognitively Nornal (n=195)	75.96 (6.54)	97 (50%)	50 (26%)
Mild Cognitive Impairment (n=283)	72.23 (7.73)	157 (55%)	117 (41%)
Alzheimer's Disease (n=93)	77.19 (7.69)	60 (65%)	63 (68%)
Pre-/post-conversion			
MCI to AD (n=110)	74.5 (7.89)	62 (56%)	71 (65%)
NL to AD (n=10)	78.8 (4.05)	7 (70%)	4 (40%)
NL to MCI (n=42)	78.71 (6.9)	21 (50%)	13 (31%)

\* 80 cross-sectional samples were included

**Selection criteria**: WGS & GWAS, RNA profiling,  $\geq$  2 year clinical follow-up, MRI and PET imaging data; converters, longitudinal DNA availability (except 80 cross sectional)

Updated 4/11/2016, Sungeun Kim

# **Future Directions**

- These will require additional support before they can be fully realized, but within available resources, work will continue to develop these important areas:
- A) Work with other parties to find resources for WGS, transcriptome and epigenetic profiling of ADNI's longitudinal DNA and RNA samples;
- B) Provide a forum to work on issues of return of research results to participants;
- C) Work with the Clinical Core to develop new call back and family studies of ADNI participants;
- D) Facilitate replication studies with other cohorts/data sets;
- E) Collaborate with academic and industry partners on *molecular and functional validation* follow-up studies; and
- F) Collaborate with the Neuropathology Core to relate differential pathological features to genetic variation.

#### Genetics Core/Working Groups

#### Indiana University

- Imaging Genomics Lab
  - Andrew Saykin (Leader)
  - Li Shen (co-Leader)
  - Liana Apostolova
  - Sungeun Kim
  - Kwangsik Nho
  - Shannon Risacher
  - Vijay Ramanan
- National Cell Repository for AD
  - Tatiana Foroud (co-Leader)
  - Kelley Faber

#### **PPSB Working Groups**

- Nadeem Sarwar\*
- PPSB Chairs
- FNIH Team
  - \* Genetics Core Liaison

- Core Collaborators/Consultants
  - Steven Potkin (UCI; co-Leader)
  - Robert Green (BWH)
  - Paul Thompson (USC)

- Other Collaborators RNA and NGS Projects:
  - Keoni Kauwe (BYU)
  - Yunlong Liu (Indiana)
  - Fabio Macciardi (UC Irvine)